This site uses cookies to provide you with a better experience. For information on our cookie policy, please visit <u>this page</u>. By continuing to use the site or closing this banner, you are agreeing to our terms of use.

# Have library access? Log in through your library

Log in Register

Advanced Search Browse Tools <u>About SupportLog in Register</u>



**JOURNAL ARTICLE** 

# Measuring Natural Resource Scarcity

F. Landis Mackellar and Daniel R. Vining Jr.

Social Indicators Research

Vol. 21, No. 5 (Oct., 1989), pp. 517-530 (14 pages)

Published By: Springer

https://www.jstor.org/stable/27520786

Cite this Item

Read and download

Purchase article

Log in through your school or library

\$43.95 - Download now and later

## **Abstract**

One fundamental index of world social welfare is the availability of natural resources relative to population. In recent years, social, policy, and even physical scientists have been unable to reach consensus on whether natural resources are becoming increasingly scarce. The prevailing pessimistic view of the 1970s has been strongly challenged in the 1980s by resource-population optimists such as Julian Simon. In this paper, we argue that one source of failure to reach consensus is the fundamental ambiguity of available measures of natural resource scarcity. Surveying measures such as unit extraction cost, relative price, and the reserves-to-production ratio, we discuss difficulties of interpretation. Some of the problems identified may yield to further research, but others appear irremediable.

### **Journal Information**

Since its foundation in 1974, Social Indicators Research has become regarded as the leading journal for the publication of the results of research dealing with problems related to the measurement of all aspects of the quality of life. These studies – empirical, philosophical and methodological – take in the whole spectrum of society, including the individual, public and private organizations, and municipal, country, regional, national and international systems. Topics covered include health, population, shelter, transportation, the natural environment, social customs and morality, mental health, law enforcement, politics, education, religion, the media and the arts, science and technology, economics, poverty, and welfare.

## **Publisher Information**

Springer is one of the leading international scientific publishing companies, publishing over 1,200 journals and more than 3,000 new books annually, covering a wide range of subjects including biomedicine and the life sciences, clinical medicine, physics, engineering, mathematics, computer sciences, and economics.

# Rights & Usage

This item is part of JSTOR collection

For terms and use, please refer to our <u>Terms and Conditions</u>

Social Indicators Research © 1989 Springer

**Request Permissions** 

### F. LANDIS MACKELLAR AND DANIEL R. VINING, JR.

### MEASURING NATURAL RESOURCE SCARCITY

(Received 15 October, 1987)

ABSTRACT. One fundamental index of world social welfare is the availability of natural rsources relative to population. In recent years, social, policy, and even physical scientists have been unable to reach consensus on whether natural resources are becoming increasingly scarce. The prevailing pessimistic view of the 1970s has been strongly challenged in the 1980s by resource-population optimists such as Julian Simon. In this paper, we argue that one source of failure to reach consensus is the fundamental ambiguity of available measures of natural resource scarcity. Surveying measures such as unit extraction cost, relative price, and the reserves-to-production ratio, we discuss difficulties of interpretation. Some of the problems identified may yield to further research, but others appear irremediable.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The availability of natural resources relative to population is a fundamental indicator of global social welfare, and whether resources are becoming increasingly scarce is a timeless question (e.g., Malthus, 1798; Jevons, 1865; and Leith, 1935, for erroneous predictions of impending scarcity). The current debate between pro and antigrowth forces (e.g., Simon, 1981a; Ehrlich, 1981) is only the latest manifestation of an ongoing controversy (Pavitt, 1973). Researchers in the scarcity field have not converged on a mainstream view; we have lurched from Limits to Growth (Meadows et al., 1974) and Global 2000 (U.S. Department of State and Council on Environmental Quality, 1980) to The Resourceful Earth (Simon and Kahn, 1984). U.S. public policy has veered from activism to laissez-faire (Finkle and Crane, 1985; Mac-Kellar and Vining, 1988).

Consensus has been elusive in part because of the lack of unambiguous scarcity indices. There is a small technical literature on economic aspects of this problem (e.g., Brown and Field, 1978; Fisher, 1979; Hall and Hall, 1984); however, little has been written from an interdisciplinary point of view. The following paper aims to fill this gap in the literature. It will highlight problems of interpreting historical trends in

Social Indicators Research 21: 517-530, 1989.

© 1989 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

**View Preview** 

# **Explore JSTOR**

By Subject	Get Access	About JSTOR	JSTOR Labs	For Librarians	
By Title	Support	Mission and History	JSTOR Daily	For Publishers	JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for- profit organization helping the academic community use digital
By Collections	LibGuides	What's in JSTOR	Careers		
By Publisher	Research	Get JSTOR	Contact Us		technologies to preserve the scholarly record and to advance research and
Advanced Search	Basics	News			teaching in sustainable ways.
Data for Research		Webinars			©2000-2020 ITHAKA. All Rights
					Reserved. JSTOR®, the JSTOR logo,

Select Language

JPASS®, Artstor®, Reveal Digital™ and ITHAKA® are registered trademarks of

ITHAKA.